GENDER-SENSITIVE EDUCATION: A STRATEGIC PATHWAY TO ACHIEVING GENDER EQUALITY IN THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

Hasiyatiningsih[1], Ahmad Musaddad[2,]
[1]STAI Cendekia Insani Situbondo, [2] STAI Cendekia Insani Situbondo
e-mail: [1]ningsihhasiyati1@gmail.com, [2]ahmad.musaddad8181@gmail.com

Abstract

Gender-sensitive education is a key strategy in addressing disparities in access, participation, and representation within education systems. This study positions gender-sensitive education as a systemic approach to challenging structural bias and creating equitable learning environments for all learners, particularly girls and young women. Using a literature review method, the article analyzes national policies and instructional practices that promote gender equality at institutional and cultural levels. Findings indicate that gender-sensitive education contributes significantly to reducing stereotypes, fostering female leadership, and strengthening teacher capacity to support inclusive classrooms. The study highlights the urgency of integrating gender perspectives into curricula, teacher training, and school facilities, and recommends affirmative policies and participatory evaluation as crucial components of equitable educational development.

Keywords : *gender-sensitive education, gender equality, women's empowerment, education policy*

A. Introduction

Gender disparities in access, participation, and educational outcomes remain a persistent structural issue across many countries, including Indonesia. Data indicates that women tend to have lower levels of educational participation, which reinforces their subordinate position within educational systems and leadership domains. Systemic barriers such as gender-based discrimination, entrenched social stereotypes, and the absence of equity-oriented policies continue to reproduce these inequalities. Addressing this condition requires a gender-responsive educational approach one that aims to create equal opportunities and resources for all learners, free from discrimination (Wiarsih & Astawan, 2021). In practice, gender-sensitive education plays a vital role in fostering inclusive learning environments, developing bias-free instructional materials, and promoting attitudinal transformation among teachers and students regarding individual roles and contributions (Sulistyowati, 2021).

Several studies have shown that educational systems lacking gender sensitivity not only exacerbate social inequality but also hinder economic growth

and collective national well being (Hadiyanti, 2021; Sari, 2021). Systemic educational inequality can suppress societal productivity and reinforce cycles of disempowerment (Fauziyah & Juliprijanto, 2022; Rofatunnisa & Usman, 2024). Di In Indonesia, the complexity of this issue is further compounded by cultural norms that position women in subordinate roles, thereby limiting their active participation in education and public leadership (Adika & Rahmawati, 2021; Octaviyani & Endang, 2024). Although access to education for women has improved, disparities in learning quality, skill acquisition, and economic opportunities remain evident (Abidin & Murtadlo, 2020; Selvina & Utamie, 2024).

Gender disparities in education are particularly pronounced in remote areas, where traditional norms and gender stereotypes continue to hinder women's participation in quality learning opportunities (Yuniar & Yuniasih, 2022). As a result, many women remain trapped in cycles of poverty that are difficult to break without structural and equitable interventions. This underscores the need for educational systems to be designed with gender justice as a foundational principle within broader social agendas (Parancika & Mufida, 2024; Suryana & Pradana, 2023).

Efforts to address gender inequality must go beyond improving access. A comprehensive transformation is required across dimensions of quality, representation, and equitable learning experiences. Education must be envisioned not only as a space that accommodates diversity, but also as a platform that empowers all learners to participate meaningfully in social and economic development (Karoba et al., 2021; Rofatunnisa & Usman, 2024). Theoretically, critical and feminist pedagogies offer robust frameworks for understanding the importance of integrating gendered experiences into educational policy. The Gender and Development (GAD) approach specifically emphasizes institutional transformation and cultural change as key pathways to dismantling systemic discrimination against women and marginalized groups (Roberts, 2021; Tesař & Pangastuti, 2024).

Implementing gender-sensitive education requires cross-sectoral strategies such as gender mainstreaming, ensuring that all educational policies are grounded in principles of equity. This strategy serves as a vital tool for deconstructing entrenched structures of inequality within educational systems (Roberts, 2021). Although integrating feminist theory into teacher training presents challenges, it opens pathways for more inclusive and transformative pedagogical practices (Palacios et al., 2022). Critical education plays a complementary role in fostering collective awareness and strengthening students' active participation in shaping socially just change, as emphasized in feminist movement narratives (Zhu, 2023). Through open dialogue and collaborative learning processes, students are encouraged to critically examine power relations and gender structures that influence access and dynamics within educational spaces (guizzo & Alldred, 2024).

Socially just and culturally sensitive curriculum design has become an increasingly urgent imperative. An intersectional approach enables education systems to accommodate the complexity of student identities while challenging exclusive traditional norms (Akbar et al., 2023; Branlat et al., 2022). Within this framework, education is not merely a vehicle for intellectual development but a strategic tool for transforming unequal social structures. Accordingly, the integration of critical pedagogy, feminist theory, and Gender and Development (GAD) perspectives is essential for cultivating a more equitable and empowering educational ecosystem (Kajawo & Johnson, 2024).

Despite growing discourse around gender-sensitive education, significant gaps remain in its implementation. Most national education policies have yet to fully embrace gender sensitivity, often maintaining a neutral stance that risks reinforcing existing inequalities. Comprehensive evaluations of gender-responsive policy implementation are still limited, particularly in the domains of classroom practice and institutional governance. Moreover, studies that systematically link national policy analysis with inclusive classroom practices remain scarce in the literature.

In response to these conditions, this study seeks to answer the question: How can gender-sensitive education strategies be systemically implemented to achieve gender equality in the education sector? The research aims to: (1) analyze manifestations of gender bias in educational policy and practice; (2) explore the contributions of gender-sensitive education to reducing stereotypes and enhancing female participation; and (3) formulate implementable strategies that support affirmative policy within educational systems.

In the Indonesian context, gender-sensitive education has begun to gain traction through curriculum development and teacher training initiatives grounded in principles of equity. Programs such as gender-responsive teacher modules and inclusive learning facilities have been introduced as part of broader inclusion strategies (Damanik & Saliman, 2024). Reports from UNESCO and UNGEI reinforce the finding that gender-sensitive education can reshape rigid social norms and increase female representation in educational leadership. This approach not only promotes academic achievement among girls (Gultom, 2021), but also deepens educators' understanding of justice within learning relationships (Asrofah et al., 2022).

Amid the persistent influence of patriarchal cultural norms, gender-sensitive education is not merely a technical intervention but a transformational strategy essential for empowering women and expanding their access to educational and economic spaces (Apriliandra & Krisnani, 2021; Syahputra, 2023). From a long-term perspective, education grounded in gender equality principles serves as a foundational pillar for building a more just and inclusive society (Antriani & Islahuddin, 2024).

This article offers a novel contribution by integrating national education policy analysis and inclusive classroom practices into a unified systemic

framework based on gender justice. Furthermore, a participatory evaluation approach is proposed as a critical instrument for assessing the effectiveness of gender-sensitive education. The central research question addressed is: To what extent can gender-sensitive education strategies overcome structural and cultural inequalities within the education system? The study hypothesizes that if gender perspectives are systematically embedded into curricula, teacher training, and affirmative policy frameworks, the education system will be better equipped to achieve sustainable gender equality.

B. Research Methodology

This study employs a library research approach as its primary method, aiming to explore in depth the conceptual frameworks, educational policies, and pedagogical practices related to gender-sensitive education. This method enables the researcher to conduct a critical review of various written sources, including scholarly books, academic journal articles, national education policy documents, and institutional reports from relevant international organizations such as UNESCO and UNGEI.

As articulated by (Zed, 2008), library research is an autonomous form of scholarly inquiry that relies on systematic analysis of texts and written information to construct a logical and profound theoretical framework. In the context of this study, the approach is used to understand how gender-sensitive education is conceptualized, implemented, and evaluated across different levels of policy and practice.

Data collection was conducted through a literature search focusing on themes such as gender mainstreaming, the Gender and Development (GAD) approach, feminist educational theory, and studies examining the impact of gender-based education on women's participation and empowerment. The data were analyzed using a descriptive-qualitative method, employing thematic synthesis to connect various theoretical perspectives into a comprehensive conceptual framework.

During the analysis process, gender-sensitive education is positioned as part of a broader strategy for systemic and cultural transformation. The literature was interpreted contextually, with attention to the relevance between theoretical approaches and the implementation of gender-responsive education policies. Moleong, (2012) emphasizes that qualitative analysis is directed toward understanding the meaning and social dynamics embedded within data, rather than merely organizing factual information.

Through this approach, the study aims to contribute a strong conceptual foundation to the reform of educational policies that promote social justice and gender equality, while also opening pathways for future empirical research that explores the practices and impacts of gender-sensitive education across diverse local contexts.

C. Findings and Discussion

The literature review reveals that gender-sensitive education plays a central role in shaping inclusive learning environments and empowering students equitably. This approach functions not only as a corrective measure against structural biases within educational systems but also as a transformative strategy for cultivating socially just learning ecosystems.

National education policies have shown promising progress in integrating gender perspectives into curricula and teacher training programs. Damanik & Saliman, (2024) note that policy revisions and curriculum development initiatives have begun to accommodate gender equality principles, although implementation challenges persist at the practical level.

The deployment of gender-responsive teacher training modules has had a significant impact on pedagogical awareness within schools. Asrofah et al., (2022) report that such training encourages educators to become more attuned to identifying and addressing gender-based disparities in classroom dynamics, including unconscious reproduction of stereotypes through instructional materials and interactions. Over time, these equitable teaching practices are expected to foster comprehensive cultural shifts within school environments.

A positive correlation between gender-responsive education and increased academic participation among female students is also documented in research by Gultom, (2021), as well as in reports by UNESCO and UNGEI. These sources highlight how inclusive approaches expand leadership opportunities for women and strengthen their representation within the education sector. Beyond participation rates, gender-sensitive education contributes to more equitable academic outcomes in terms of performance and access to higher education.

Another noteworthy development is the growing adoption of intersectional approaches in curriculum design. Branlat et al., (2022) demonstrate that curricula which account for the diversity of student identities including gender, culture, and socioeconomic background can foster learning experiences that are both relevant and contextually grounded. The integration of such approaches forms a critical foundation for building education systems that are genuinely responsive to learners' holistic needs.

Based on the reviewed data and findings, gender-sensitive education clearly contributes to addressing the research question concerning efforts to overcome structural and cultural inequalities in education. This approach actively reduces gender stereotypes, expands women's access to educational and leadership spaces, and strengthens the capacity of teachers and institutions to create inclusive and equitable learning environments.

Analysis of the literature indicates that gender mainstreaming in education policy has catalyzed a paradigm shift from gender-neutral frameworks toward more affirmative and transformative orientations. Roberts, (2021) notes that this strategy requires comprehensive policy reform not only in formulation but also in institutional implementation. Such reorientation plays a vital role in

dismantling hidden structures of inequality embedded within ostensibly neutral policies.

The integration of feminist perspectives into teacher training has also shown positive impacts on classroom practice. Palacios et al., (2022) reveal that when educators are equipped with a deep understanding of feminist theory, they are better able to identify and address gender bias in learning interactions. However, a key challenge remains in translating theory into meaningful and context-sensitive pedagogical practice. The gap between conceptual frameworks and classroom realities remains a critical concern in the development of gender-responsive teacher professionalism.

In classroom settings, critical gender-based pedagogy has proven effective in enhancing students' awareness of power relations and structural inequalities embedded in educational systems. Zhu, (2023) and guizzo & Alldred, (2024) emphasize that strategies such as open dialogue and narrative exploration of lived experiences are highly effective in stimulating students' critical reflection on gender roles and social positioning. These practices open pathways for cultivating more just and democratic thinking.

The interpretation of this study's findings affirms that gender-sensitive education is not merely a technical intervention within educational policy, but a transformative strategy capable of reshaping institutional thinking and culture toward greater equity. Policies grounded in the Gender and Development (GAD) framework have demonstrated tangible contributions in challenging discriminatory social norms and strengthening female representation in educational decision-making spaces.

Initiatives that integrate critical pedagogy, feminist theory, and intersectional approaches have shown significant potential to produce education systems that are not only structurally equitable but also contextually responsive to the diverse experiences of learners. By synthesizing these dimensions, gender-sensitive education emerges as a strategic instrument for building democratic, inclusive, and socially relevant learning environments.

Nonetheless, this study is not without limitations. The absence of primary data and the lack of direct fieldwork constrain the mapping of concrete implementation of gender-sensitive education across various institutions. Differences in typology between general schools and pesantren could not be comprehensively addressed, rendering the generalization of findings tentative. Moreover, the absence of longitudinal evaluations of affirmative policy impacts limits the interpretation of effectiveness to indicative insights.

The findings consistently reinforce the hypothesis that when gender perspectives are systematically embedded into education policy, curriculum design, and teacher training, the education system becomes more capable of accommodating learners' needs in an equitable and just manner. This integration influences not only the technical aspects of instruction but also catalyzes cultural transformation within educational institutions.

Gender-sensitive education has proven to be a foundational element in cultivating school cultures that are inclusive, open to diversity, and democratic in decision-making processes. These changes are reflected in increased female participation in academic and leadership activities, as well as in the emergence of new awareness among educators and students regarding the importance of learning relationships free from discrimination and stereotypes. Classrooms that adopt this approach become spaces of interaction that honor difference while fostering social empathy and participatory equality.

Gender-sensitive education not only addresses the need for equitable access but also reinforces systemic transformation within educational governance. It functions as both a policy strategy and a pedagogical approach that simultaneously engages structural and cultural domains a synthesis that enables the creation of an educational ecosystem that is just, empowering, and sustainable.

This study demonstrates strong alignment with previous research across the domains of character education, social inclusion, and the application of critical pedagogical approaches. The findings support and expand the understanding of gender-sensitive education's strategic role in shaping equitable and adaptive educational systems.

Consistency with the work of Lickona dan (Mansyuri et al., 2023) is evident in the emphasis on character education that transcends normative frameworks, embracing the diverse experiences and identities of learners. Gender-responsive character education becomes the foundation for cultivating empathy, social responsibility, and public ethics within educational institutions.

Furthermore, the findings resonate with Iskandar, (2023) who positions social inclusion as a fundamental principle in achieving educational justice. This perspective is particularly relevant in the gender context, where inclusive education promotes meaningful and equitable participation of women and girls in learning processes.

The study by Na'imah & Bawani, (2021) ontributes significantly to gender-based character education practices through modeling and habituation. These methods are considered effective in fostering national and humanitarian values among students, while also addressing hierarchical power relations embedded in educational practices.

In the realm of 21st-century skills, Rahman & Husin, (2022) underscore the importance of technological proficiency as a fundamental requirement for women's empowerment and workforce readiness. Their findings reinforce the argument that gender-sensitive education must incorporate digital competencies as part of a broader strategy for enhancing competitiveness.

Critical pedagogy emerges as a pivotal intersection that enriches this analysis. Studies by guizzo & Alldred, (2024); Zhu, (2023) offer deep insights into how critical education can serve as an emancipatory tool for dismantling systemic injustice. Through active student participation and reflection on power

relations, education becomes a transformative space that fosters collective awareness and social justice action.

Accordingly, the findings of this study not only reinforce previous scholarship but also underscore the urgency of systemically integrating gender-sensitive education as a praxis framework that unites values, inclusion, and social transformation. Based on the research outcomes, there is a pressing need to design educational policies that embed principles of social justice and gender equity both within Islamic and general education contexts. Such policies must be formulated through participatory and evidence-based processes to effectively respond to the diverse needs of learners. Gender responsiveness is not merely an ethical requirement in education delivery; it is a strategic pathway toward inclusive and structurally just sustainable development.

To strengthen the validity of these findings and ensure contextual applicability, future research is recommended to adopt field-based approaches. Direct observation of classroom practices and in-depth interviews with teachers and students will provide concrete insights into the dynamics of gender-sensitive education implementation across institutions. This approach enables the development of sharper and more realistic evaluation frameworks, capturing variations in practice across educational settings.

Additionally, the design of longitudinal evaluations is essential to understand the long-term impact of gender-sensitive education on indicators such as participation, academic achievement, and female leadership. Continuous tracking will offer deeper contributions to the effectiveness of strategies and policy recommendations aimed at systemic change.

Mapping curricula that have integrated gender perspectives across educational institutions also represents a strategic step toward developing replicable models. Comparative studies of curriculum structures, pedagogical approaches, and student outcomes will support the formulation of adaptive curriculum designs that can be widely implemented while respecting local institutional contexts.

Overall, gender-sensitive education must be positioned not as an administrative add-on, but as a foundational pillar in building educational ecosystems that are equitable, reflective, and transformative. These recommendations are expected to catalyze more comprehensive and socially impactful educational reform.

D. Conclusion

Gender-sensitive education has proven to be a strategic approach for addressing structural and cultural inequalities within the education system. By integrating gender perspectives into policies, curricula, and teacher training, education becomes more responsive to the diverse needs of learners and contributes to the creation of inclusive, equitable, and transformative learning environments.

This study highlights the central role of gender-sensitive education in shaping democratic school cultures and promoting the active participation of women in academic spaces and leadership. Policy initiatives grounded in the Gender and Development (GAD) framework, along with the integration of critical and feminist theories into educational practice, are pivotal in dismantling stereotypes and strengthening the representation of marginalized groups.

Despite limitations in primary data and the incomplete coverage of institutional typologies, the findings of this study affirm the urgency of positioning gender-sensitive education as a core element of systemic and sustainable educational reform. Strengthening implementation requires longitudinal evaluation, field-based research, and curriculum mapping grounded in gender perspectives as key strategies for realizing transformative change across education systems.

References

Abidin, A. A., & Murtadlo, M. A. (2020). CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT OF MULTICULTURAL-BASED ISLAMIC EDUCATION AS AN EFFORT TO WEAVER RELIGIOUS MODERATION VALUES IN INDONESIA. *International Journal of Islamic Education, Research and Multiculturalism (IJIERM)*, *2*(1), 29–46. https://doi.org/10.47006/ijierm.v2i1.30

Adika, N. D., & Rahmawati, F. (2021). Analisis Indikator Ketimpangan Gender Dan Relevansinya Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Inklusif Di Indonesia. *Ecoplan*, *4*(2), 151–162. https://doi.org/10.20527/ecoplan.v4i2.400

Akbar, H., Al-Dajani, H., Ayub, N., & Adeinat, I. (2023). Women's Leadership Gamut in Saudi Arabia's Higher Education Sector. *Gender Work and Organization*, *30*(5), 1649–1675. https://doi.org/10.1111/gwao.13003

Antriani, J., & Islahuddin, I. (2024). Skeptisisme Gender Novel Perempuan Berkalung Sorban Karya Abidah El Khlieqy. *Alinea Jurnal Bahasa Sastra Dan Pengajarannya*, *3*(3), 701–713. https://doi.org/10.58218/alinea.v3i3.795

Apriliandra, S., & Krisnani, H. (2021). Perilaku Diskriminatif Pada Perempuan Akibat Kuatnya Budaya Patriarki Di Indonesia Ditinjau Dari Perspektif Konflik. *Jurnal Kolaborasi Resolusi Konflik*, *3*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.24198/jkrk.v3i1.31968

Asrofah, Z. A., Ngazizah, N., & Anjarini, T. (2022). Upaya Peningkatan Kemampuan Psikomotor Kesetaraan Gender Melalui Pembelajaran Berbasis Gender Sosial Inklusi Pada Peserta Didik Sekolah Dasar. *Edukatif Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, *4*(2), 1729–1734. https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v4i2.2071

Branlat, J., Atehortúa, J. V., & Hellstrand, I. (2022). Tentacular Classrooms: Feminist Transformative Learning for Thinking and Sensing. *Journal of Transformative Education*, *21*(1), 26–40. https://doi.org/10.1177/15413446211068556

Damanik, F. H. S. & Saliman. (2024). Menanamkan Nilai Kesetaraan Gender Dalam Hubungan Berpacaran Melalui Pembelajaran Sosiologi Di Jenjang Sekolah Menengah Atas. *Didaktika Jurnal Kependidikan*, *13*(1), 771–778. https://doi.org/10.58230/27454312.376

Fauziyah, *, & Juliprijanto, W. (2022). Pengaruh Ketimpangan Pendidikan Antar Gender Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Di Indonesia. *Ekonomi & Bisnis*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.32722/eb.v21i1.4571

guizzo, gigi, & Alldred, P. (2024). Tackling Gender-Related Violence: How Can Theory Inform International Professional Education Projects? *Social Sciences*, *13*(1), 61. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci13010061

Gultom, M. (2021). Indikator Kesetaraan Gender Dan Isu-Isu Gender Di Bidang Pendidikan. *Fiat Iustitia Jurnal Hukum*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.54367/fiat.v1i2.1149

Hadiyanti, R. (2021). Gender Korelasi Antara Ketimpangan Gender Bidang Pendidikan Dan Ketenagakerjaan Terhadap Laju Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Di Kabupaten Belitung Timur. *Equity Jurnal Ekonomi*, *9*(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.33019/equity.v9i2.54

Iskandar, K. (2023). Lembaga Pendidikan Pesantren Di Tengah Arus Perubahan Global. *Journal of Education and Religious Studies (Jers)*, *3*(01), 18–24. https://doi.org/10.57060/jers.v3i01.73

Kajawo, S., & Johnson, L. R. (2024). Why Not Us? Experiences of Incarcerated Women on Education Access in Malawi Prisons. *Advanced Journal of Social Science*, *13*(1), 25–39. https://doi.org/10.21467/ajss.13.1.25-39

Karoba, L., Yuliana, Y., & Manalip, L. M. (2021). Modernisasi Pendidikan Bagi Perempuan Suku Walak Di Distrik Wollo Kabupaten Jayawijaya. *Cenderawasih Jurnal Antropologi Papua*, *1*(2), 65–80. https://doi.org/10.31957/jap.v1i2.1540

Mansyuri, A. H., Patrisia, B. A., Karimah, B., Sari, D. V. F., & Huda, W. N. (2023). Optimalisasi Peran Pesantren Dalam Lembaga Pendidikan Islam Di Era Modern. *Ma Alim Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *4*(1), 101–112. https://doi.org/10.21154/maalim.v4i1.6376

Moleong, L. J. (2012). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif* (Revisi). PT Remaja Rosdakarya.

Na'imah, I., & Bawani, I. (2021). Penanaman Pendidikan Karakter Demokratis Di Pondok Pesantren. *Jurnal Muara Pendidikan*, *6*(2), 228–236. https://doi.org/10.52060/mp.v6i2.604

Octaviyani, M. L., & Endang, E. (2024). Analisis Disparitas Gender Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia. *Ekonomis Journal of Economics and Business*, *8*(1), 535. https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v8i1.1561

Palacios, L. L., Luque, A. G., & Algarra, E. J. D. (2022). Gender Equity in Initial Teacher Training: Descriptive and Factorial Study of Students' Conceptions in a Spanish Educational Context. *International Journal of Environmental*

Research and Public Health, *19*(14), 8369. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148369

Parancika, R. B., & Mufida, R. T. (2024). Ketimpangan Gender Di Kalangan Perempuan Daerah Sebagai Faktor Kurangnya Pendidikan Bahasa Indonesia. *Klitika Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia*, *6*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.32585/klitika.v6i1.5027

Rahman, S. A., & Husin, H. (2022). Strategi Pondok Pesantren Dalam Menghadapi Era Society 5.0. *Jurnal Basicedu*, *6*(2), 1829–1836. https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v6i2.2371

Roberts, K. M. (2021). Integrating Feminist Theory, Pedagogy, and Praxis Into Teacher Education. *Sage Open*, *11*(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211023120

Rofatunnisa, S., & Usman, H. (2024). Capaian Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Inklusif Dan Ketimpangan Gender Di Indonesia: Analisis Persamaan Simultan Data Panel. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Kebijakan Publik*, *14*(1), 15–32. https://doi.org/10.22212/jekp.v14i1.2530

Sari, M. P. (2021). Pengembangan Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Dalam Meningkatkan Mutu Lembaga Pendidikan Berbasis Total Quality Management. *Jurnal Syntax Fusion*, *1*(08), 287–298. https://doi.org/10.54543/fusion.v1i08.43

Selvina, M., & Utamie, Z. R. (2024). Pembangunan Gender Dan Jumlah Masyarakat Yang Bekerja Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Di Indonesia Ditinjau Dari Perspektif Ekonomi Islam Tahun 2018—2022. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals Journal of Economics and Business*, *4*(5), 2117–2137. https://doi.org/10.54373/ifijeb.v4i5.1912

Sulistyowati, Y. (2021). Kesetaraan Gender Dalam Lingkup Pendidikan Dan Tata Sosial. *Ijougs Indonesian Journal of Gender Studies*, *1*(2). https://doi.org/10.21154/ijougs.v1i2.2317

Suryana, Y., & Pradana, R. S. (2023). Angka Partisipasi Kasar Perguruan Tinggi, Sma Dan SMP Menurut Provinsi Dan Menurut Gender Serta Hubungannya Dengan Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka. *Jurnal Kewidyaiswaraan*, *8*(1), 29–37. https://doi.org/10.56971/jwi.v8i1.270

Syahputra, D. D. (2023). Budaya Patriarki Dan Ketidaksetaraan Gender Dalam Pendidikan Di Desa Bontoraja, Kabupaten Bulukumba. *Sustainable Jurnal Kajian Mutu Pendidikan*, *6*(2), 608–616. https://doi.org/10.32923/kjmp.v6i2.4028

Tesař, M., & Pangastuti, Y. (2024). From Colonial Legacies to Inclusive Futures: Transforming and Reconceptualising Early Childhood Education in Indonesia. *Global Studies of Childhood*, *14*(3), 264–282. https://doi.org/10.1177/20436106241268149

Wiarsih, N., & Astawan, I. G. (2021). Pendidikan Responsif Gender Dan Kesehatan Reproduksi Dalam Proses Pembelajaran. *Mimbar Ilmu*, *26*(2), 333. https://doi.org/10.23887/mi.v26i2.38505

Yuniar, A., & Yuniasih, A. F. (2022). Pengaruh Kesetaraan Gender Terhadap Ketimpangan Capaian Pendidikan Di Indonesia Tahun 2015–2019. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Pembangunan Indonesia*, *22*(1), 116–130. https://doi.org/10.21002/jepi.2022.07

Zed, M. (2008). *Metode penelitian kepustakaan* (Ed. 2). Yayasan Obor Indonesia.

Zhu, Y. (2023). Transforming Adult Education Through Critical Feminist Theory: Towards a Critical Consciousness Learning Model. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, *2023*(180), 101–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.20515